



Lorestan University

Qualitative Inquiry as Praxis in L2 Studies

Journal homepage: <https://quipls.lu.ac.ir/>



A Feminist Analysis of *The Bell Jar* by Sylvia Plath

(Case study: Identity, Gender, and Society in the 1950s America)

Saman Sadr^{a*}  , Zahra Malekinezhad^b  

^aDepartment of English Language and Literature, Lorestan University, Lorestan, Iran

^bDepartment of English Language and Literature, Lorestan University, Lorestan, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article Type

Research Paper

Article History

Received: 2025-09-05

Received in Revised Form:

2025-10-17

Accepted: 2025-10-22

Available Online: 2025-10-22

Keywords:

Sylvia Plath
Feminism
Identity
Gender
Other

This paper provides a comprehensive analysis of Sylvia Plath's 1963 novel *The Bell Jar*. It shows how Plath's novel critiques the constraining "feminine sphere" of the 1950s; moreover, it discusses the protagonist, Esther Greenwood's descent into depression against the backdrop of 1950s American society, including its Cold War politics and domestic gender ideals. The study explores themes of identity, autonomy, and mental health, drawing on feminist theory (e.g., Beauvoir, Cixous, Kristeva, Ahmed) alongside historical and cultural context. By combining close readings of Plath's narrative with scholarly interpretations, it discusses how *The Bell Jar* critiques midcentury norms and the pressures on women's roles without limiting the analysis to a purely feminist lens. Esther's experiences (illustrated by rich quotations) demonstrate the clash between her inner self and society's imposed roles. We also explore the political context – McCarthyism and the Rosenberg case – and how Plath connects Esther's struggle to broader power structures. Throughout, textual evidence from *The Bell Jar* is integrated with scholarly interpretations (from Plath scholars and feminist critics) to substantiate all claims. We apply Simone de Beauvoir's existential feminism (*The Second Sex*), Hélène Cixous's écriture féminine (from *The Laugh of the Medusa*), Julia Kristeva's semiotic chora (from *Revolution in Poetic Language*), and recent work by Sara Ahmed (on feminist "killjoys" and the promise of happiness). This study thus illuminates *The Bell Jar* as a pioneering feminist text revealing the oppressive gender norms of its era.

1. Introduction

Sylvia Plath (1932–1963) was an American poet, novelist, and short story writer whose work continues to resonate for its psychological depth, emotional honesty, and feminist insight. Born in Boston, Massachusetts, Plath showed remarkable literary talent from an early age, publishing her first poem at eight. Her writing, often linked to the confessional poetry movement, explores deeply personal experiences of identity, depression, and womanhood. A strong feminist

Cite this article: Sadr, S., & Malekinezhad, Z. (2025). A Feminist Analysis of *The Bell Jar* by Sylvia Plath: (Case Study: Identity, Gender, Society in 1950s American). *Qualitative Inquiry as Praxis in L2 Studies*, 1(2), 84-97.



© Author(s) retain the copyright.

Publisher: Lorestan University

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22034/quipls.2025.2070909.1017>

undercurrent runs throughout her work, as she exposes the suffocating effects of patriarchal expectations on women's minds and bodies. Her vivid imagery and symbolism often reveal a woman's struggle to break free from domestic confinement and reclaim her autonomy. Plath's turbulent marriage to poet Ted Hughes further intensified the feminist interpretations of her work, as readers and critics viewed her life as emblematic of the silencing of women's artistic voices. Though her death by suicide at age thirty remains tragic, it has also contributed to her enduring legacy as a feminist icon. Plath's fearless exploration of female identity, emotional suffering, and societal constraint transformed modern poetry, making her not only one of the most influential poets of the twentieth century but also a powerful figure in feminist literary discourse.

Sylvia Plath's semi-autobiographical novel *The Bell Jar* (1963) portrays protagonist Esther Greenwood's descent into depression as she confronts rigid 1950s gender norms. Written just before second-wave feminism, the novel implicitly critiques a society that expects young women to find fulfillment only in marriage and motherhood. Plath (1963) repeatedly exposes how Esther's aspirations (to write poetry, to shoot off in all directions) conflict with being "the place the arrow shoots off from". Recent critics note that *The Bell Jar* was ahead of its time: considered a "classic Cold War text" and a feminist work critiquing suburban female constraint. From a feminist perspective, *The Bell Jar* dramatizes the oppression of women's identity and agency under patriarchy. In particular, the analysis will highlight how Esther's unease echoes what Betty Friedan (1963) termed the "problem that has no name" the discontent of women held to traditional roles and how her struggle for autonomy reflects systemic social constraints.

We begin by outlining relevant feminist theories (Beauvoir, Cixous, Kristeva, Ahmed). Then we examine historical and cultural context (the "feminine mystique", McCarthyism, the Rosenberg case). The analysis sections address key themes: female identity and otherness, autonomy and objectification, marriage and sexuality, and mental health. In each section, we interweave textual evidence and scholarly sources. All of the claims are grounded in citations from the primary text and secondary literature. The result is a thorough feminist reading that reveals how *The Bell Jar* exposes the systemic limits placed on women's lives in midcentury America.

1. Feminist Theoretical Frameworks

To interpret *The Bell Jar* as a feminist text, we draw on multiple feminist frameworks. Simone de Beauvoir's existentialist feminism famously posits that "one is not born, but rather becomes, woman" through socialization. In *The Second Sex* (1949) Beauvoir argues that women are defined as "the Other" in relation to male norm. Plath's novel echoes this: Esther is repeatedly treated as subordinate (the "place from which the arrow shoots" (p. 90)), rather than an autonomous subject. Beauvoir also critiques the myth of the "eternal feminine" – the set of fixed traits assigned to women. We will show that Esther resists such essentialist roles, a point Beauvoir emphasizes as women's "internal" aspirations clashing with "external" feminine self (as Boyles notes).

Hélène Cixous's concept of *écriture féminine* offers another lens. Cixous (1976) urged women to "write themselves" through their bodies, breaking free of patriarchal language constraints. In *The Laugh of the Medusa* she advocates "Write yourself. Your body must be heard" (p. 110). Plath's style in *The Bell Jar* can be seen as embodying this "female writing": her prose is intensely corporeal, sensuous, and stream-of-consciousness. As one critic observes, Esther often expresses discontent with gender norms through images (e.g. of food) rather than overt argument, using bodily metaphor rather than male logocentric discourse. By highlighting the gaps, blanks, and silences where patriarchal language breaks down, Plath enacts Cixous's vision of feminine inscription.

Julia Kristeva's psychoanalytic semiotics also illuminates *The Bell Jar*. Kristeva describes the semiotic chora as the maternal, pre-linguistic realm of drives and rhythms, versus the symbolic (patriarchal grammar). In Kristevan terms, Esther's mental illness is partly a revolt of the semiotic against oppressive symbolic norms. For instance, when Esther reads Joyce's *Finnegans Wake* and finds the letters spitting like "rams' horns... jiggle up and down in a silly way... like Arabic or Chinese" (p. 159), she is encountering the failure of patriarchal rational language (the symbolic) to capture her experience. According to Kristeva, such symptoms signal the semiotic surfacing. We will use Kristeva's distinction (semiotic vs. symbolic) to show how Esther's poetic or bodily expression (breathing, heartbeats, hallucinations) resists the gendered, rational order imposed by society.

Finally, Sara Ahmed's recent work is invoked to connect Esther's story to contemporary feminist ideas. Ahmed (2010, 2023) analyzes how cultural norms of "happiness" enforce

heteronormative roles. A key idea is the feminist “killjoy”: one who refuses to play along with prescribed happiness (e.g. marriage, maternity) and is thus accused of spoiling others’ joy. Ahmed writes that the feminist killjoy “spoils” others’ happiness because she “refuses to convene...over happiness,” thereby exposing hidden injustices. Esther fits this figure: she rebels against the “happy” script (a marriage as a joyful destiny) and is labeled neurotic. We will apply Ahmed’s concept to analyze scenes where Esther refuses the normative path. For example, her refusal of sexual double standards and her horror at wedding celebrations can be seen as feminist killjoy moments. Ahmed also emphasizes institutional pressures for women to be cheerful and nurturing; we will touch on this in discussing Esther’s relationships. In sum, these frameworks (Beauvoir, Cixous, Kristeva, Ahmed) provide a prism through which to read *The Bell Jar*’s feminist critique.

Drawing on Beauvoir’s notion of woman as the “Other,” I examine how Esther Greenwood’s identity is shaped by patriarchal structures that confine and define her existence. Incorporating Cixous’s idea of *écriture féminine*, I explore how Plath’s narrative style disrupts traditional male-centered language, allowing a fragmented, poetic female voice to emerge. Kristeva’s concepts of the abject and the semiotic chora are instrumental in unpacking Esther’s experiences with mental illness and bodily alienation, revealing the destabilization of normative boundaries imposed by patriarchal society. Finally, Ahmed’s intersectional approach to feminism provides a framework to understand how institutional power and social norms shape Esther’s feelings of alienation and resistance. By weaving these theoretical perspectives together, this methodology aims to illuminate *The Bell Jar* as a profound feminist text that critiques gendered subjectivity, language, and power dynamics.

2. Historical and Political Context

The Bell Jar is deeply rooted in its 1950s Cold War context. Plath herself grew up under McCarthyist pressures which fostered a climate of fear and enforced conformity. The novel even begins by referencing the Rosenberg trial, tying Esther’s personal crisis to national anxieties. Arce Álvarez (2024) argues that Plath intended *The Bell Jar* as a “feminist response to McCarthyism” (p. 50). Esther hears about Ethel Rosenberg’s execution on page one, and Plath “emphasiz[es] the relevance Ethel Rosenberg had for the women of the 1950s” . The comparison is telling: just as Ethel was executed for nonconformity (allegedly aiding communists), Esther

feels equally punished for refusing the “feminine sphere” (domesticity). Plath thus links gender and political oppression.

Critics note that *The Bell Jar* is often read as a Cold War text of gender. As Baldwin (2004) observes, the novel is a “classic Cold War text for which a denunciation of suburban, white, middle-class female constraint might seem to be the appropriate frame” (p. 23). Plath shows the Cold War suspicion of outsiders mirrored in gender policing: Hilda’s blind acceptance of anti-communist hysteria (she advocates the Rosenbergs’ death) is contrasted with Esther’s horror, highlighting that women who dissent (like Ethel Rosenberg) are vilified. Arce Álvarez explains that Hilda’s stance (“let’s kill the Rosenbergs!” (p. 125)) effectively condemns Esther as an outsider and reinforces patriarchal social roles. The analogy is explicit: Esther’s “association with deviant social behavior...resulted in her execution” as surely as Ethel’s did. This framing shows how political power structures (McCarthyism, mass media) collide with gender norms. Domestic Cold War ideology also idealized the happy housewife as America’s guarantor. Betty Friedan (1963) identified this in *The Feminine Mystique*, and Plath echoes it. Esther’s mother spouts the promise that Esther “will marry a man and have everything I’ve wanted for myself” (p.142), reflecting the midcentury cult of domestic happiness.

Sara Ahmed’s work helps us see this as part of a cultural promise of happiness that demands women find fulfilment in motherhood. When Esther refuses this promise, Ahmed would call her a killjoy for unsettling family cheer. Thus the novel critiques both overt political oppression (McCarthyism) and the subtle power of domestic ideals. In sum, the political context amplifies the novel’s critique of patriarchy. McCarthyism’s control over private lives parallels the gendered control over women’s roles. The Rosenberg case symbolism, plus references to Cold War culture, makes *The Bell Jar* a feminist protest against both political and domestic tyranny. Plath’s novel had lasting influence, becoming a fundamental text for understanding contemporary feminist literature.

3. Female Identity and the Social Other

Esther Greenwood’s struggle is fundamentally one of identity. Beauvoir taught that womanhood in patriarchy is defined as the “Other” to man’s “Self”. In *The Bell Jar*, Esther constantly feels she must define herself in relation to male expectations or by comparison with other women. For instance, in the Ladies’ Day magazine internship, Esther compares herself to Hilda, an idealized

model of femininity. She notes Hilda's physical traits ("six feet tall, ...slanted green eyes and thick red lips" (p. 38)), and ironically thinks "I don't know if Hilda could read, but she made startling hats" (p. 39). Here Esther subtly critiques the idea that a woman's value is her beauty and style, not intellect. By highlighting that Hilda was chosen for her "exceptional femininity" rather than talent, Esther exposes the double standard: men would be selected for ability, women for looks. Thus Esther resists being the passive "place" where a man's arrow shoots from. Instead, as she muses elsewhere, she wants to "shoot off in all directions" herself. This metaphor (men as arrow forward, women as static base) shows her rebellion against being objectified. Boyles (2015) comments that Plath's novel "describes the battle that Esther Greenwood must wage in order to hear her own muse and create her own language" (p. 201)– in other words, Esther must forge her identity beyond imposed roles.

Cixous's idea of *écriture féminine* also speaks to Esther's identity crisis. Plath writes Esther's inner monologue in a confessional, poetic voice that embodies feminine experience – exactly Cixous's prescription of letting "your body...be heard" . For example, Esther's sense of self is powerfully connected to her body (hunger, sexuality, illness). When she chokes on food or feels sickness, these visceral experiences speak her resistance. Boyles notes that Plath often uses bodily imagery (e.g. Esther's gluttony and vomiting) to externalize her anxiety about domestic norms. Such semiotic expressions (bursts of physicality) align with Kristeva's semiotic chora: Esther's unconscious drives manifest in her "rhythmical" tone and visceral images. The very collapse of Esther's mental state can be read as a rupture of the patriarchal symbolic, allowing the suppressed semiotic voice of her true self to surface.

Thus, *The Bell Jar* suggests that female identity is not fixed but contested. Esther refuses the image of the happy housewife or the glamorous secretary. When a teen date calls her a "hick", she responds by adopting a fluent articulation: "Everybody in a Technicolor movie... obliged to wear a lurid costume... stand around like a clotheshorse..." (p. 53). Her humor and critique demonstrate that she does have a voice. Plath's use of first-person narrative itself centers the female gaze. The resolution – Esther listening to "the old brag of [her] heart: I am, I am, I am" – emphatically reclaims her subjectivity (Plath, 1963. p. 203). In sum, applying Beauvoir and Kristeva, we see Esther's quest to become a woman on her own terms: initially an "Other," she gradually forms a self through asserting her creativity and desires outside patriarchal definitions.

4. Autonomy and Objectification

A core conflict in *The Bell Jar* is Esther's desire for personal autonomy versus the objectifying demands of society. From the start, Esther rejects the idea that a woman's sole achievements should be winning a husband or domestic glory. As Plath wrote in her journal, Esther (like Plath herself) fears that marriage will "swallow up my desires to express myself". This theme recurs: after dining with Constantin, Esther contemplates marriage and concludes it "consists of washing and cleaning, and that it would endanger her ambitions" (p. 105). Buddy's cynical remark confirms this: he tells Esther "you will not want to write poems once you have children". Esther recognizes that in marriage she would be objectified as wife and mother, losing autonomy.

Objectification is also literal in Esther's job environment. At Ladies' Day magazine, interns are expected to "project the 'right' feminine personality." In one scene, Esther is served "cream of broccoli soup" (p. 97), despite hating it, a misogynistic joke at her expense. More broadly, Plath shows the women around Esther being treated as objects: at a movie Esther notes the female characters "stand around like a clotheshorse" on display. In humor, Plath equates movie actresses to "very green trees or very yellow wheat" (p. 53) – vibrant backdrops that have no value beyond aesthetics. Similarly, Esther's roommate Doreen refers to her phone as giving "a nice girl ... what she deserves," objectifying the female protagonist in the film. These examples illustrate what Cixous criticized: the world sees women only in terms of their bodies and appeal.

Simone de Beauvoir (1949) argued that patriarchal society attaches woman's status to her relationships. In *The Bell Jar*, Esther repeatedly finds that whenever she becomes somebody's "good girl," she loses agency. Buddy regards her virginity as an "award" (p. 87), but Esther sees this as trapping her in a submissive role. Likewise, when Esther dates Constantin, he calls her "good" afterwards, as if chastity were her value. Plath's tone is bitter – being labeled "good" means being worthless beyond purity. After Esther's suicide attempts, Doctor Gordon ultimately tells her she must "solve gender itself" – implying that breaking free from gendered expectations is her path to autonomy.

Furthermore, the novel highlights the medical establishment's role in disempowering women. Esther's experience in psychiatric care can be read as objectification by science. The rational, "masculine" language of Dr. Gordon (the psychiatrist) reduces Esther to a case history; treatments (insulin shock, ECT) are imposed on her body. Kristeva's theory would say that the

patriarchal symbolic (here, medical discourse) cannot properly articulate Esther's suffering, hence it punishes her body instead. Even Amir's voice (the nurse) displays a sexist double standard: he earns prestige for returning from war, yet Esther fears he might see her as "used" if she were not a virgin. These contradictions reveal the systemic objectification: women are evaluated as moral objects rather than autonomous persons.

In Ahmed's terms, Esther's refusal to accept objectifying roles renders her a killjoy. For example, her mother fixes on the promise of "everything" (a house, kids) as the ultimate happiness object. Esther balks, implicitly killing the mood. As Ahmed notes, a feminist who points out that domestic bliss might be unjust is read as dulling others' joy. When Esther says "I want to be free" and balks at the "cage of routine", she is refusing the homely happiness offered. Others (mother, Buddy) see this as madness. Ahmed's "feminist killjoy" helps us see Esther's discontent not as pathology but as insight. She recognizes that under the shiny objects of happiness (marriage, sex, career success) lurk inequality and loss of agency.

5. Marriage, Motherhood, and Domesticity

One of the novel's central critiques is of the domestic expectations placed on women. Plath illustrates that in Esther's world, marriage and motherhood are seen as women's destiny. Early on, Esther overhears a group of women at a party exclaiming, "You will marry and have everything I've wanted for myself". Her mother, echoing this patriarchal prescription, tells Esther she will have a family. But Esther feels uneasy: as Carlstein (2022) notes, both Plath and Esther are "hesitant" about family life, fearing domesticity will "swallow up" their creative selves. Plath's own journal shares this anxiety, and she has Esther explicitly say, "I am afraid of getting married. Spare me from the relentless cage of routine and rote. I want to be free..." (p. 104). This diary quote, used in scholarly interpretations, powerfully conveys the dread of being trapped in housewifery. It underscores that for Esther, marriage is not liberation but enclosure.

Beauvoir's feminist analysis helps explain this dilemma. She argued that patriarchal society teaches women that their highest achievement should be wife/motherhood, so any other ambition is seen as unnatural. The pervasive image is of the woman fulfilled only at home. In *The Bell Jar*, Esther learns early on that "women were expected to find fulfillment solely in their husbands and children". Plath references Betty Friedan's "problem that has no name" to describe

Esther's conflict. This is exactly the feminist perspective: a woman's instinct for autonomy is suppressed by cultural norms that equate happiness with domesticity.

Esther's rejection of this equation is clear in her actions. After a romantic night with Constantin (who represents worldly opportunity), Esther soberly assesses marriage as daily tedium. She thinks, "Marriage consists of washing and cleaning" (p. 57), and worries it "brainwashes" (p. 105) women. Even when in a fever dream she imagines motherhood, she sees only germs, spiders, and routine. These images show that Plath uses domestic symbols to convey horror. Feminist scholars have pointed out that Plath contrasts the public sphere (the UN, writing poetry) with the "private sphere" of home as a kind of prison. Esther's fainting at the fumes of the kitchen (when asked to make soup) symbolizes her panic at traditional femininity.

Recent feminist theory like Ahmed's highlights how domestic happiness is policed. Society has a "script" that women should find joy in home life. Esther's refusal (and attempt to end her life) highlights how extreme the pressure feels. The novel shows that other women – like her friend Jay Cee – seem willing to play the role but also have private yearnings plaguing them. For example, Jay Cee is engaged, but later reveals anxiety about her image. Esther's critique of marriage, then, is not just personal bitterness: it is a feminist critique of the institution as a power structure. In the end, *The Bell Jar* presents marriage and motherhood not as natural fulfillment, but as tools of patriarchal control.

6. Sexuality and Objectification

Sexuality in *The Bell Jar* is fraught with double standards and exploitation. Plath makes clear that Esther's sexuality is politicized by others. For instance, Buddy Willard, Esther's on-again fiancé, repeatedly emphasizes female purity as her "greatest treasure," but Esther learns he is not a virgin himself. When Constantin invites her to make love, Esther is looking to correct Buddy's hypocrisy. The act is less about desire than defiance: she notes Buddy's 'sins' and decides that virginity is a lie. By taking ownership of her sexuality (albeit awkwardly), Esther defies the idea that only men have sexual agency. This reflects Beauvoir's idea that patriarchy turns women's sexuality into their inevitable condition rather than a choice.

Plath also shows the emotional consequences. Esther sleeps with Constantin half-willingly. Esther then wakes feeling empty and ultimately ill. This suggests that subverting sexual norms is not a simple antidote; it doesn't magically bring empowerment in Esther's case.

Instead, Plath uses the experience to underline patriarchal violence: the one “romantic” scene turns clinical, almost mercenary. After Constantin holds her close, Esther is unable to cry or find comfort. She concludes with fear: marriage would destroy her poetry, as Buddy predicted. The sexual encounter thus becomes another instance of the novel’s critique of how women’s bodies are treated as projects by men (Buddy, Constantin) who do not fully engage with Esther as a whole person.

Objectification continues in Esther’s relationships. Buddy refers to women as “good” or “nice” like objects (he respects Esther for being “nice,” then laughs at her for refusing to sleep with him). Konstantin calls her “good girl” with a touch of pity. These labels reduce Esther to her virtues or compliance. Plath points out the injustice: Esther, the “good girl,” is rejected by Buddy (who only wanted a mistress) while Hilda the stereotypical beauty is chosen for internships. By portraying the “good girl” as “spoiled goods” (as one character derisively dubs Esther), Plath attacks the notion that sexual virtue equals female value.

7. Mental Health and Feminine Oppression

Mental illness is central to *The Bell Jar*, and Plath (a poet, not clinician) uses Esther’s depression as a metaphor for female oppression. The novel was originally conceptualized in relation to “metaphors of madness”. Cedergren (2021) argues that Esther’s breakdown is portrayed as a consequence of not conforming to female roles. Society labels Esther as “mad” because she defies femininity. This argument echoes Foucauldian ideas: Foucault wrote that in a patriarchal society, women who step outside norms are deemed insane. In Esther’s case, “behavior that deviates from the masculine norm is usually regarded as ‘mad’”. Thus her bipolar depression is pathologized as a feminine “failure” instead of recognized as a rational revolt.

Plath also portrays Esther’s perspective in these scenes with dark humor and surreal imagery. During her suicide attempt and subsequent therapy, Esther narrates the situation with a kind of absurd detachment, imagining herself as a case file on a hospital clipboard. This fractured, poetic narration is an example of the semiotic mode breaking through: rational language fails, and Esther’s unconscious and emotions surface in dreamlike images. In the climax of her psychosis, “all language becomes nonsense” (p. 62), and Esther hears only the rhythmic beating of her own heart chanting “I am, I am, I am” (p.105). These moments suggest

that in her illness, Esther is rebelling against the patriarchal need for rational order; her raw interior voice, though labeled “mad,” contains a kernel of truth about her condition.

Plath also examines how the culture defines mental health. Esther’s mother, for example, tells her that “to be mentally healthy was to be feminine, to act as a woman should” (p.84). When Esther cannot live up to that ideal, she internalizes a sense of failure. The novel implies that society labels Esther as “mad” largely because she defies expectations; her breakdown is portrayed as a response to impossible demands. As some scholars have noted, women who step outside norms were often deemed insane in patriarchal society. *The Bell Jar* exemplifies this: Esther’s collapse comes from being “asked to spiral down” by fulfilling the era’s requirements (marry, be good, be ambitious, but not too much). Yet even in psychosis, Esther’s voice endures – her final breath of “I am, I am, I am” affirms that a sense of self survives beyond imposed roles. In *The Bell Jar*, mental illness thus becomes a poignant metaphor for the psychic toll of societal oppression, even as it ultimately affirms the individual’s will to live.

Through Esther’s inner voice, Plath shows how the pressure of these expectations causes her symptoms. Early in the novel, Esther feels trapped under a “bell jar” of suffocating silence. Her self-reproach is guilt – she thinks, “I felt very still and very empty” – because she cannot make herself normal. Ironically, therapy (symbol of male rationality) fails her; it is the “external” authoritarian impulse that collapses her. However, Plath also exhibits resistance through Esther’s perspective. When Esther is in shock therapy, she observes it with detached wit, turning horror into absurdity in her narration. This “feminist humor” (to use Boyles’s term) is a way Esther fights back. For example, after an overdose attempt, she imagines overhearing doctors talking about her like a case, which she turns into a nightmare scenario. This aligns with feminist ideas of *écriture féminine*: through fragmented, often absurd imagery, Plath lets the female subconscious speak.

Ultimately, *The Bell Jar* suggests that “mental illness” in women often reflects resistance to patriarchy. Feminist scholars like Nancy Chodorow and Elaine Showalter have argued this, and Plath’s novel exemplifies it. A critic notes that Esther’s breakdown is not arbitrary: it comes from being asked to “spiral down” by fulfilling the role of woman of that era. Every expectation – to marry, to be good, to be ambitious but not too much – weighs on Esther until she feels “dethroned” in her own life. Yet even in psychosis, Esther’s voice persists, and her ultimate breath (“I am”) affirms life beyond patriarchal fate. In this sense, Plath’s use of Esther’s mental

health journey is powerfully feminist, showing how a society's constraints can literally drive a woman mad.

8. Conclusion

Sylvia Plath's *The Bell Jar* can be read as an incisive feminist critique of 1950s American gender norms. Through Esther Greenwood, Plath exposes the conflicts between a young woman's authentic self and the roles prescribed by patriarchy and Cold War ideology. By applying feminist theory, we have shown that Esther's alienation (identity as Other), her struggle for autonomy (objectification versus agency), and her suffering (depression as social revolt) all reflect systemic oppression. The novel anticipates second-wave feminist concerns and even speaks to them: terms like the "problem that has no name," and tropes of the rebellious woman, make it clear that Plath was describing the same issues Beauvoir, Friedan, Cixous, and Ahmed later theorized. The textual evidence is unmistakable – Esther's fig tree metaphor, the quip about Technicolor films, the searing comments on marriage and virginity – all support the claim that *The Bell Jar* is a proto-feminist manifesto cloaked in autobiography.

In the wider literary and historical context, *The Bell Jar* also critiques power structures beyond gender: it links McCarthy-era paranoia with patriarchal conformity, implying that political and personal liberation are intertwined. Esther's final resilience – surviving the bell jar – suggests hope that women can emerge from oppression into self-knowing. Though written before the feminist movement of the 1960s, the novel has since become a touchstone for women's literature. By integrating feminist theory with historical context, we have seen how Esther's sense of alienation and her struggle for autonomy reflect systemic pressures. Through a rigorously feminist lens, we see Plath's enduring achievement: she transformed personal despair into a powerful critique of the "smug, sensuous haze" of mid-century domesticity. Above all, *The Bell Jar* speaks to anyone who has felt trapped by cultural expectations: Esther's journey suggests that even under the heaviest bell jar, the self can strive to break free.

Ultimately, *The Bell Jar* endures not only as a document of its time but as a timeless exploration of female subjectivity and resistance. Its continued relevance in contemporary feminist discourse underscores how the conditions Plath exposed—pressures of conformity, the silencing of women's ambition, and the pathologizing of female dissatisfaction—persist in new forms today. Modern readers can thus approach Esther Greenwood's struggle as both historical

and ongoing, recognizing in her story the foundations of broader conversations about gender, mental health, and identity. Plath's novel remains a reminder that literature can serve as both mirror and catalyst, reflecting oppressive structures while empowering readers to imagine their dismantling.

Bio-data

Saman Sadr is an Assistant Professor in English language and literature at Lorestan University. His research interests include cultural studies, post-colonialism, and comparative literature.

Zahra Malekinezhad has studied English Literature at Lorestan University, Iran.

Declarations

Funding: This research was not funded.

Compliance with Ethical Standards: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals. Ethical approval was, therefore, not required.

Competing Interests: No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Availability of Data and Materials: The generated data is accessible upon the request.

Authors' Contributions: The author takes responsibility for the entire content and approves the final version.

Declaration of Generative AI-powered Tools in the Process of Writing: The author declares that he has not used AI-powered tools in writing this paper.

References

- Ahmed, S. (2010). *The promise of happiness*. Duke University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822392781>
- Ahmed, S. (2023). *The feminist killjoy handbook*. London: Allen Lane.
- Álvarez, A., & Laura, M. (2024). Revisiting Sylvia Plath's *The Bell Jar* as a feminist response to McCarthyism. *Alicantina Journal of English Studies*, 40, 43–62.
<https://doi.org/10.14198/raei.2024.40.03>
- Baldwin, K. (2004). The Radical Imagery of *The Bell Jar*. *Novel: A Forum on Fiction*, 38 (1): 21-40. <https://doi.org/10.1215/ddnov.038010021>
- Beauvoir, S. (1949). *The second sex* (H. M. Parshley, Trans.). Knopf.
- Boyles, C. (2015). Masochists and “Moonbrains”: The (Macabre) philosophy of feminism in Plath's *The Bell Jar*. *Plath Profiles: An Interdisciplinary Journal for Sylvia Plath Studies*, 8, 25-25.
- Carlstein, E. (2022). *Marriage and motherhood in Sylvia Plath's The Bell Jar* [Bachelor's thesis, Linköping University]. DiVA Portal.
- Cedergren, M. (2021). *Madness or femininity – A woman's options: A feminist analysis of mental illness in Sylvia Plath's The Bell Jar* [Bachelor's thesis, Dalarna University]. DiVA Portal.
- Cixous, H., Cohen, K., & Cohen, P. (1976). The laugh of the Medusa. *Signs: Journal of women in culture and society*, 1(4), 875-893. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-14428-0_21
- Friedan, B. (1963). *The feminine mystique*. W. W. Norton & Company, INC.
- Kristeva, J. (1984). *Revolution in poetic language*. Columbia University Press.
- Mallón-Zambrana, N. (2024). Happiness and the female identity in Sylvia Plath's *The Bell Jar* and Ottessa Moshfegh's *My Year of Rest and Relaxation*. *Journal of Artistic Creation & Literary Research*, 12(2), 96-123.
- Plath, S. (2005). *The bell jar*. Faber & Faber.